(3)



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : JABALPUR

Writ Petition No. 99 /1996

PETITIONER : Sukharo bai Nag wife of Nammulal Nag aged 40 years, Working as Sarpanch, of Gram Panchayat, Singhanpur Block Keshkal, Distt Bastar (M.P.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue) Keshkal, District Bastar (M.P.)
2. Tahsildar, Keshkal Distt Bastar (M.P.)
3. Somaru Ram, Up Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Singhanpur Block Keshkal, Distt Bastar (M.P.)
4. Gangoram, Panch
5. Faghuram, Panch
6. Ram Prasad, Panch
7. Shyamlal, Panch
8. Dassho bai, Panch
9. Sadhuram Panch
10. Kishanta bai Panch
11. Jailal, Panch
12. Birjo bai, Panch
13. Vimla bai, Panch
14. Rukhmani bai, Panch
15. Shyam Kumar, Panch
16. Mahesh Ram, Panch
17. Shyama bai, Panch
18. Surajlal, Panch
19. Jadrash, Panch,
20. Baliram, Panch,
21. Shah Jahan, Begum Panch
22. Nammulal, Panch,

Respondents No.4 to 22, Panch of Gram Panchayat, Singhanpur, resident of Singhanpur, Block Keshkal, District Bastar (M.P.)

(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(43)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...WPC/3.9.2/26 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	4-8-2006 Shri Ranbir Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of Miss S. Singhai, Advocate, for the petitioner. Shri Parag Kotecha, P. L. for respondents No.1 and 2. The matter concerns to the no-confidence motion passed on 29-12-95 against the petitioner while she was working as Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Singhanpur, Block Keshkal, District: Bastar. As the term of said Panchayat has already been expired and thereafter two more elections have been held, therefore, this petition has become infructuous and the same is dismissed as having become infructuous. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Barve

(पीछे देखिये)